

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/992,893	Applicant(s) KELLEY ET AL.	
	Examiner Kaveh Abrishamkar	Art Unit 2131	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Kaveh Abrishamkar.

(3) _____.

 (2) Michael Whitham (Reg. No. 32,635).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 February 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-20

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted the Applicant regarding an Examiner's Amendment to amend claims 1 and 18 to include the comparing and enabling a system component step of claim 10. The Examiner believes that this amendment would greater clarify how the electronic device is being secured by delineating the comparison and the output of the comparison which enables a system component essential for device operation. The applicant approved the Examiner's Amendment, which resulted in an allowance of claims 1-20..